



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,928	08/06/2003	Balaji Venkataraman	52761-0110 (286146)	1053
23370	7590	06/17/2008	EXAMINER	
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			HENRY, MICHAEL C	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 PEACHTREE STREET				1623
ATLANTA, GA 30309				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/635,928	VENKATARAMAN, BALAJI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MICHAEL C. HENRY	1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL C. HENRY. (3) SHAOJIA A. JIANG.  
 (2) JOHN K. McDONALD. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims generally.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner explained the rejections made on under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and why the claims are deemed as properly rejected. The applicant argued that the rejections are not proper. It should be noted that this telephone interview was preceded by at least three previous telephone interviews and a personal interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/S. A. Jiang/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required